W.P. No. 261 (SS) 05

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J.

Heard Sri M.C. Kandpal, learned Sr. Counsel for the petitioners and learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Counsel for the parties have submitted that the controversy raised by means of this writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court delivered on 04.03.2006 passed in Special Appeal no. 66 of 2005 (State of Uttaranchal and ors. vs. Pushkar Bhatt and ors.), as such, the present writ petition may also be disposed of on the same terms and observations expressed in the aforesaid judgment.

In view of the aforesaid statement the present writ petition is also disposed of on the same terms and observations made in Special Appeal no. 66 of 2005 (State of Uttaranchal and ors. vs. Pushkar Bhatt and ors.) delivered on 04.03.2006.

(M.M. Ghildiyal, J.)

07.04.2006:

NCM:

W.P. No. 261 (SS) 05

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J.

Heard Sri M.C. Kandpal, learned Sr. Counsel for the petitioners and learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Counsel for the parties have submitted that the controversy raised by means of this writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court delivered on 04.03.2006 passed in Special Appeal no. 66 of 2005 (State of Uttaranchal and ors. vs. Pushkar Bhatt and ors.), as such the present writ petition may also be disposed of on the same terms and observations expressed in the aforesaid judgment.

In view of the aforesaid statement the present writ petition is also disposed of on the same terms and observations made in Special Appeal no. 66 of 2005 (State of Uttaranchal and ors. vs. Pushkar Bhatt and ors.) delivered on 04.03.2006.

07.04.2006:
NCM: